RECEIVED

MAY 2 8 2003
Distribution Center

Kevin J. Martin Federal Communications Commission Fax: (202) 418-0710

JUN 2 5 2003

Dear FCC Commissioner Martin,

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

02-277

In regards to the upcoming vote on relaxing of media ownership rules you must delay the June 2 vote for 60 days, open the process to public comment and demonstrate how these proposed changes in ownership limits will serve the public interest.

These proposed changes will only further limit media content by placing radio, newspapers and tv into the hands of a few already powerful corporations. The passage of the 1996 Telecommunication act has already proven disastrous for contemporary music, culture, diversity, journalism and local community access. Case in point is Clear Channel which went from 30 radio stations nationwide to 1200, with it's preprogrammed and homogenized broadcasting. Even Ted Turner has stated, "There's really five companies that control 90% of what we read, see and hear. It's not healthy."

Democracy is built on diversity of public opinion and the belief that an informed citizenry and it's views are the basis for political decision making. We need many media entities to provide the information and ideas that make democracy-happen.

I believe the FCC has not been open and public in persuing this ruling. Commissioners Copps and Adelstein have acted with conscience in holding-public hearings all over the country this past month. There is considerable concern and objection being voiced from the public, the entertainment industry and from Congress. To serve the public interest it is extremely important in this complex proceeding to let the public know about and comment on the changes the FCC intends to make to these critical rules.

Monopolies are dangerous and especially harmful when we are talking of the means the public gets all of it's news and information. The FCC and Congress are supposed to be guarding against this. I urge you to support diverse, competitive and broad media - not further consolidation.

Sincerely, 1 Robell 3

Natalie Roberts
555 Cherry St.

Petaluma, CA 94952

Tatjana Gleixner 15605 Judicial Rd. Burnsville, MN 55306



Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

RE: Docket No. 02-277 Don't abandon media safeguards!

Dear FCC Commissioners,

I strongly urge that the FCC abandon its plan to end long-standing and critical safeguards that have served as an important "check and balance" system to help ensure diversity of media ownership. Under the proposal you are considering, one company in a community will be able to own the newspaper, several TV and radio stations, the cable system, and the principal Internet access company. There will be fewer owners of networks, stations, and newspapers nationwide. This will very badly damage true media diversity and competition. A competitive and diverse media is absolutely essential to ensure an informed citizenry and a healthy and vibrant democracy.

Eliminating these last remaining protections of the public trust would constitute a complete abandonment of the FCC's mission to ensure that our airwaves, which are owned by all Americans, are used in a manner which ensures the diverse range of voices and opinions needed in a healthy democracy. Loss of these protections would constitute a huge and unacceptable giveaway of public resources and political power to a few large and powerful media companies.

Further consolidation of the media in the false name of "deregulation" must be halted and in fact reversed. TV and radio news in the hands of a handful of profit-driven corporations has undermined our democracy more than any other modern force except the high cost of broadcast commercials during elections. The media companies have failed in their public trust to provide crucial unbiased information to the public about most public issues. Americans depend upon the media to bring us information that will allow us to make the informed choices necessary for the well-being of our nation and our future.

As an American concerned about our democracy, I urge you to reject the current proposal to abandon the last remaining controls on media consolidation. Instead, I strongly urge you to break up the media conglomerates, to open the spectrum to a wide diversity of organizations and independent journalists, and to reinstate the Fairness Doctrine.

5-4-03

Federal Communications Commission: K. Abernathy RECEIVED

M. Conos

K. Martin

J. Adel Hein Federal Communications Commission

Comment on Broad Cast Counciship, Docker Officeros Prescritary

Comment on Broad Cast Counciship, Docker Officeros Prescritary

Comment on Broad Cast Counciship, Docker Officeros Prescritary

Please present our few precious FCC Broadcast america

At least, provide time for public hearings across the whole comments on the proposed FCC rule changes here referred.

This point is imperating it we are to maintain some degree & democracy for an children. Free press is not one that is monopolized!

Thank-you for your courage to do what's right for our Democracy.

John C Bollinger

Och Bollinger

143 Saline In.

Latyera, CO SCOZG

Conf

MAY 1 9 2003

Distribution Comer

Confirmed

MAY 2 8 2003

Kevin J. Martin Federal Communications Commission (202) 418 - 0710 FAX

Distribution Center 02-277

May 28, 2003

Dear FCC Commissioner Kevin J. Martin,

There must be no relaxing of media ownership rules.

On the contrary, the FCC should require the reversal of the trend toward consolidation. A case in point is the media giant Clear Channel Communications whose domination of radio have homogenized the airwaves. Another example of this distortion is the plan of Rupert Murdoch (an Australian citizen, incidentally) to purchase DirecTV, opening the door to TV becoming an outpost of the Murdoch empire.

Democracy is built on the idea that the view and beliefs of an informed citizenry are the best basis for political decision-making. Without access to fair and balanced news, the popular system simply doesn't work.

JUN 2 5 2003

Media corporations cannot be trusted to balance themselves. They have shown, again and again, that they communications Commission willing to sacrifice journalism to improve the bottom line. Office of the Secretary That's why we need many media entities - to keep each other honest and to provide the information and ideas that make democracy happen.

Monopoly power is a dangerous thing, and the FCC and Congress are supposed to guard against it. You must support a diverse, competitive media landscape.

Tou must delay any ruling for 60 days during which time more public hearings will be held.

The process of your decision-making must become transparent, with drafts made public and subject to debate.

E PLURIBUS UNUM

Vote for what the statue of liberty represents not what the swastika represents, please.

- Ed Robertson, Tomales, CA,

Confirmed

Kevin J. Martin Federal Communications Commission (202) 418 - 0710 FAX

MAY 2 8 2003

Distribution Center

May 28, 2003

Dear FCC Commissioner Kevin J. Martin,
-There must be no relaxing of media

ownership rules.

On the contrary, the FCC should require the reversal of the trend toward consolidation. A case in point is the media giant Clear Channel Communications whose domination of radio have homogenized the airwaves. Another example of this distortion ECEIVED is the plan of Rupert Murdoch (an Australian citizen, incidentally) to purchase DirecTV, opening the door to TV JUN 2 5 2003 becoming an outpost of the Murdoch empire.

deral Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Democracy is built on the idea that the view and beliefs of an informed citizenry are the best basis for political decision-making. Without access to fair and balanced news, the political system simply doesn't work.

Media corporations cannot be trusted to balance themselves. They have shown, again and again, that they're willing to sacrifice journalism to improve the bottom line. That's why we need many media entities - to keep each other honest and to provide the information and ideas that make democracy happen.

Monopoly power is a dangerous thing, and the FCC and Congress are supposed to guard against it. You must support a diverse, competitive media landscape.

- → You must delay any ruling for 60 days during which time more public hearings will be held.
- The process of your decision-making must become transparent, with drafts made public and subject to debate.

E PLURIBUS UNUM Sincerely,

Frian Farler

Ad Hoc Committee for Media Diversity P.O.Box 484, Occidental, CA 95465 (707) 874-3855 (707) 823-5825

RECEIVED

m

Confirmed

MAY 2 8 2003

Distribution Center

page 2 of 2

Ad Hoc Committee for Media Diversity P.O. Box 484, Occidental, CA 95465 (707)874-3855, (707)823-5825

May 28, 2003

Dear FCC Commissioner Kevin J. Martin,

There must be no relaxing of mediatively
ownership rules.

JUN 2 5 2003

On the contrary, the FCC should require the reversal establishment that the media of the trend toward consolidation. A case in point is the media of the Secretary that the secretary homogenized the airwaves. Another example of this distortion is the plan of Rupert Murdoch (an Australian citizen, incidentally) to purchase DirecTV, opening the door to TV becoming an outpost of the Murdoch empire.

Democracy is built on the idea that the views and beliefs of an informed citizenry are the best basis for political decision-making. Without access to fair and balanced news, the political system simply doesn't work.

Media corporations cannot be trusted to balance themselves. They have shown, again and again, that they're willing to sacrifice journalism to improve the bottom line. That's why we need many media entities - to keep each other honest and to provide the information and ideas that make democracy happen.

Monopoly power is a dangerous thing, and the FCC and Congress are supposed to guard against it. You must support a diverse, competitive media landscape.

→ You must delay any ruling for 60 days during which time more public hearings will be held.

The process of your decision-making must become transparent, with drafts made public and subject to debate.

E PLURIBUS UNUM Sincerely,

Marily Madrone

